# BEFORE THE NEBRASKA TAX EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW COMMISSION

SUSAN CHRISTOPHER, TRUSTEE,	)	
CLARENCE E. CLOVER LIVING TRUST,	)	
	)	Case No 07R-257
Appellant,	)	
	)	DECISION AND ORDER AFFIRMING
v.	)	THE DECISION OF THE DOUGLAS
	)	COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
DOUGLAS COUNTY BOARD OF	)	(Stipulation)
EQUALIZATION,	)	
	)	
Appellee.		

The above-captioned case was called for a hearing on the merits of an appeal by Susan Christopher, Trustee, Clarence E. Clover Living Trust ("the Taxpayer") to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission ("the Commission"). The hearing was held in the Commission's Hearing Room on the sixth floor of the Nebraska State Office Building in the City of Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, on January 7, 2010, pursuant to an Order for Hearing and Notice of Hearing issued October 17, 2008. Commissioners Warnes and Hotz were present. Commissioner Warnes was the presiding hearing officer. Commissioner Salmon was excused from participation by the presiding hearing officer. Commissioner Wickersham was absent. The appeal was heard by a quorum of a panel of the Commission.

Susan Christopher, Trustee of Clarence E. Clover Living Trust, was present, by telephone, at the hearing without legal counsel.

Thomas S. Barrett, a Deputy County Attorney for Douglas County, Nebraska, was present, by telephone, as legal counsel for the Douglas County Board of Equalization ("the County Board").

The Commission took statutory notice, received exhibits, and heard testimony.

The Commission is required by Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5018 (Cum. Supp. 2008) to state its final decision and order concerning an appeal, with findings of fact and conclusions of law, on the record or in writing. The final decision and order of the Commission in this case is as follows.

#### I. ISSUES

The Taxpayer has asserted that actual value of the subject property as of January 1, 2007, is less than actual value as determined by the County Board. The issues on appeal related to that assertion are:

Whether the decision of the County Board, determining actual value of the subject property, is unreasonable or arbitrary; and

The actual value of the subject property on January 1, 2007.

The Taxpayer has asserted that taxable value of other real property. The issues on appeal related to that assertion are:

Whether the decision of the County Board, determining the equalized taxable value of the subject property, is unreasonable or arbitrary;

Whether the equalized taxable value of the subject property was determined by the County Board in a manner and an amount that is uniform and proportionate as required by Nebraska's Constitution in Article VIII §1; and

The equalized taxable value of the subject property on January 1, 2007.

## II. FINDINGS OF FACT

The Commission finds and determines that:

- The Taxpayer has a sufficient interest in the outcome of the above captioned appeal to maintain the appeal.
- The parcel of real property to which this appeal pertains is described as LANDS SEC-TWN-RGE 29-15-10 IRREG S 19 N 1152 W 700 FT NW 1/4 NE 1/4 4.60 AC, Douglas County, Nebraska ("the subject property").
- 3. Actual value of the subject property placed on the assessment roll as of January 1, 2007, ("the assessment date") by the Douglas County Assessor, value as proposed in a timely protest, and actual value as determined by the County Board is shown in the following table:

Case No. 07R-257

Description: 1405 S. 258 St. Lands SEC-TWN-RGE 29-15-10 IRREG S 219 N 1152 W 700 FT NW 1/4 NE 1/4 4.60 AC, Douglas County, Nebraska.

	Assessor Notice Value	Taxpayer Protest Value	Board Determined Value
Land	\$46,000.00	\$46,000.00	\$46,000.00
Improvement	\$156,700.00	\$226,300.00	\$156,000.00
Total	\$202,700.00	\$272,300.00	\$202,700.00

- 4. An appeal of the County Board's decision was filed with the Commission.
- The County Board was served with a Notice in Lieu of Summons and duly answered that
   Notice.

- 6. An Amended Order for Hearing and Notice of Hearing issued on October 17, 2008, set a hearing of the appeal for January 7, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. CST.
- 7. An Affidavit of Service, which appears in the records of the Commission, establishes that a copy of the Order for Hearing and Notice of Hearing was served on all parties.
- 8. The parties have stipulated that actual value of the subject property as of the assessment date for the tax year 2007 is:

Land Value \$ 46,000.00

Improvement Value \$ 156,700.00

Total \$202,700.00

#### III. APPLICABLE LAW

- 1. Subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission in this appeal is over issues raised during the county board of equalization proceedings. *Arcadian Fertilizer, L.P. v. Sarpy County Bd. of Equalization*, 7 Neb.App. 655, 584 N.W.2d 353 (1998).
- 2. "Actual value is the most probable price expressed in terms of money that a property will bring if exposed for sale in the open market, or in an arm's length transaction, between a willing buyer and a willing seller, both of whom are knowledgeable concerning all the uses to which the real property is adapted and for which the real property is capable of being used. In analyzing the uses and restrictions applicable to real property the analysis

- shall include a full description of the physical characteristics of the real property and an identification of the property rights valued." Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-112 (Reissue 2003).
- 3. Actual value may be determined using professionally accepted mass appraisal methods, including, but not limited to, the (1) sales comparison approach using the guidelines in section 77-1371, (2) income approach, and (3) cost approach. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-112 (Reissue 2003).
- 4. Use of all of the statutory factors for determination of actual value is not required. All that is required is use of the applicable factors. *First National Bank & Trust of Syracuse* v. *Otoe Ctv.*, 233 Neb. 412, 445 N.W.2d 880 (1989).
- "Actual value, market value, and fair market value mean exactly the same thing."
   Omaha Country Club v. Douglas County Board of Equalization, et al., 11 Neb.App. 171, 180, 645 N.W.2d 821, 829 (2002).
- 6. Taxable value is the percentage of actual value subject to taxation as directed by section 77-201 of Nebraska Statutes and has the same meaning as assessed value. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-131 (Supp. 2009).
- 7. All taxable real property, with the exception of qualified agricultural land and horticultural land, shall be valued at actual value for purposes of taxation. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-201(1) (Cum. Supp. 2006).
- 8. A presumption exists that the County Board has faithfully performed its duties and has acted on competent evidence. *Omaha Country Club v. Douglas County Bd. of Equalization*, 11 Neb.App. 171, 645 N.W.2d 821 (2002).

- 9. The presumption that a county board of equalization has faithfully performed its official duties in making an assessment and has acted upon sufficient competent evidence to justify its action remains until there is competent evidence to the contrary presented, and the presumption disappears when there is competent evidence adduced on appeal to the contrary. *Omaha Country Club v. Douglas County Bd. of Equalization*, 11 Neb.App. 171, 645 N.W.2d 821 (2002).
- 10. The presumption in favor of the county board may be classified as a principle of procedure involving the burden of proof, namely, a taxpayer has the burden to prove that action by a board of equalization fixing or determining valuation of real estate for tax purposes is unauthorized by or contrary to constitutional or statutory provisions governing taxation. *Gordman Properties Company v. Board of Equalization of Hall County*, 225 Neb. 169, 403 N.W.2d 366 (1987) (citations omitted).
- 11. The Commission can grant relief only if the action of the County Board was unreasonable or arbitrary. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5016 (8) (Cum. Supp. 2008).
- 12. Proof that the action of the County Board's action was unreasonable or arbitrary must be made by clear and convincing evidence. See, e.g., *Omaha Country Club v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 11 Neb.App. 171, 645 N.W.2d 821 (2002).
- 13. "Clear and convincing evidence means and is that amount of evidence which produces in the trier of fact a firm belief or conviction about the existence of a fact to be proved."

  \*Castellano v. Bitkower, 216 Neb. 806, 812, 346 N.W.2d 249, 253 (1984).

- 14. A decision is "arbitrary" when it is made in disregard of the facts and circumstances and without some basis which could lead a reasonable person to the same conclusion. *Phelps Cty. Bd. of Equal. v. Graf*, 258 Neb 810, 606 N.W.2d 736 (2000).
- 15. A decision is unreasonable only if the evidence presented leaves no room for differences of opinion among reasonable minds. *Pittman v. Sarpy Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 258 Neb 390, 603 N.W.2d 447 (1999).
- 16. "Ordinarily, a stipulation entered by the parties to a proceeding or by their attorneys within the scope of authority for representation of the parties, establishes the fact or facts stipulated and binds the parties." *Ehlers v. Perry*, 242 Neb. 208, 218, 494 N.W.2d 325, 333 (1993) (Citations omitted).

#### IV. ANALYSIS

The stipulation of the parties disposes of all issues before the Commission and an order should be entered in accordance with the stipulation.

# V. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has subject matter jurisdiction in this appeal.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the parties to this appeal.
- 3. The stipulation of the parties is sufficient evidence that the decision of the County Board should be affirmed.

## VI. ORDER

#### IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The decision of the County Board determining taxable value of the subject property as of the assessment date, January 1, 2007, is affirmed.
- 2. Actual value of the subject property for the tax year 2007 is:

Land Value

\$ 46,000.00

Improvement Value <u>\$ 156,700.00</u>

Total

\$ 202,700.00

- 3. This decision, if no appeal is timely filed, shall be certified to the Douglas County Treasurer, and the Douglas County Assessor, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5018 (Cum. Supp. 2008).
- 4. Any request for relief, by any party, which is not specifically provided for by this order is denied.
- 5. Each party is to bear its own costs in this proceeding.
- 6. This decision shall only be applicable to tax year 2007.
- 7. This order is effective for purposes of appeal on January 15, 2010.

Signed and Sealed. January 15, 2010.

Robert W. Hotz, Commissioner	
William C. Warnes, Commissioner	

**SEAL** 

APPEALS FROM DECISIONS OF THE COMMISSION MUST SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS OF NEB. REV. STAT. §77-5019 (CUM. SUPP. 2008), OTHER PROVISIONS OF NEBRASKA STATUTES, AND COURT RULES.